

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Box AF

In re application of

J. J. RICHARDSON et al.

Serial No. 09/432,498

Art Unit: 2643

4/19/02

Filed: November 2, 1999

Examiner: M. Ramakrishnaiah

For: SENSING DEVICE MONITORING CONDITIONS AT A REMOTE
LOCATION AND METHOD THEREFORRESUBMISSION OF RESPONSE UNDER 37 CFR 1.116
ORIGINALLY FILED FEB 19, 2002

Official

Commissioner for Patents
Washington, D.C. 20231

Sir:

On February 19, 2002, a complete response was filed in reply to the Office action of September 19, 2001. Apparently that response has not been matched with the file.

Accordingly, this copy of the February 19, 2002 response is being filed, along with copies of the extension of time that was filed on February 19, 2002, and also a copy of the receipt card which was date stamped upon delivery of the February 19, 2002 response and extension of time.

It is requested that this copy be used as the original, and be accepted as if filed on the day, February 19, 2002, that the original response was filed.

Reconsideration and allowance of the claims is again
courteously solicited.

Respectfully submitted,



Steven L. Stephan
Reg. No. 47,339
Attorney for Applicant
Customer Number 002119

Dated: April 10, 2002

GREIGG & GREIGG, PLLC
1423 Powhatan Street
Unit One
Alexandria, Virginia 22314

Tel. (703) 838-5500
Fax. (703) 838-5554
REG:SLS

Page 2

SN: 09/432,498

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of

Box AF

J. J. RICHARDSON et al.

Serial No. 09/432,498

Art Unit: 2643

Filed: November 2, 1999

Examiner: M. Ramakrishnaiah

For: SENSING DEVICE MONITORING CONDITIONS AT A REMOTE
LOCATION AND METHOD THEREFORRESPONSE UNDER 37 CFR 1.116Commissioner for Patents
Washington, D.C. 20231

Sir:

In response to the Final Rejection mailed September 19,
2001, please consider the following:

REMARKS

Claims 1-62, 64-95, 97-105, and 107 remain in the
application.Claims 19-22, 50-56, 95 and 97-103 have been allowed in the
Final Rejection.